

















Focus panel 6

Inequalities in a beyond growth perspective: taxation as an instrument of ecological and social justice



Join the Q&A sli.do/BeyondGrowt



SPEAKER

Wildauer Rafael

Associate Professor in Economics, University of Greenwich, UK

Focus panel 6 - Inequalities in a beyond growth perspective: taxation as an instrument of ecological and social justice

How to design a wealth tax?

- Broad public support for wealth taxation
- Less agreement on what such a tax should look like.
- What is purpose of a wealth tax?
 - 1. ...to reduce (wealth) inequality
 - 2. ...to fund climate change mitigation and adaptation
 - 3. ...to directly reduce CO2 emissions



1) Wealth Taxation to Reduce (Wealth) Inequality

- Tackling wealth inequality, requires knowing where we stand today:
- EU Top 1% wealth share (2017):
 - 32% (Kapeller et al. 2023)
 - 26% Blanchet and Martínez-Toledanoz (2022)
- Who is who in the wealth distribution?
 - Net wealth of €2 million or more = wealthiest 1% of households
 - Net wealth of €10 million or more = wealthiest 0.1% of households Source: Kapeller, Leitch and Wildauer (2023): Can a European wealth tax close the green investment gap? Ecological Economics, Vol. 209.



1) Wealth Taxation to Reduce (Wealth) Inequality

Takeaways:

- Reducing wealth inequality, means reducing extreme wealth holdings (top 1%)
- Reducing extreme wealth holdings requires progressive wealth taxes



2) Wealth Taxation to Fund Green Investment

			Survey data + Pareto tail +
			evasion effects
	model I: flat tax	€ bn.	192
tax ra	ate: 2%	% GDP	1.6%
	model II: mildly progressive	€ bn.	224
		% GDP	1.9%
	model III: strongly progressive	€ bn.	357
tax ra	ates: 2% - 3% 8% - 10%	% GDP	3.0%
	model IV: wealth cap	€ bn.	1,281
		% GDP	10.8%

Source: Kapeller, Leitch and Wildauer (2023): Can a European wealth tax close the green investment gap? Ecological Economics, Vol. 209.



2) Wealth Taxation to Fund Green Investment

Takeaways:

- Flip side of extreme wealth concentration is healthy revenue potential of progressive wealth taxes: 2%-3% GDP annually.
- Because investment gaps probably significantly larger than official commission estimates (historic vs Paris: €465 bn/annually), wealth tax no silver bullet but significant.



3) Wealth Taxation to Reduce CO2 Emissions

- Can a wealth tax be directly used to reduce emissions?
 - No, a one-off reduction of 0.1% 0.6% (Apostel and O'Neill (2022)
 - Yes, top 10% account for ~50% of emissions (Chancel 2023, Oxfam 2021)
 - Yes, if it is strongly progressive
- What's going on?



3) Wealth Taxation to Reduce CO2 Emissions

- Differences boil down to:
 - One-off vs annual wealth tax?
 - 2. How progressive is the considered wealth tax?
 - 3. How elastic is wealth (accumulation) to a wealth tax?
 - 4. Which emissions are taken into account (consumption vs investment)?
- Crucial one in Apostel and O'Neill 2022 is (too high) elasticity



Conclusion

- 1. Discuss and design wealth taxes with policy objectives in mind.
- 2. Progressivity is key:
 - 1% flat tax unlikely to achieve much except revenue generation



Thank you.





Pathways towards Sustainable Prosperity in the EU